P72

App. F. IX-4

Previous References
Mus 89 26 1-6
7-8

Later References

1928.
Mugrai)

File No. 370-28
Serial Nos. 1- 21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 21

Part B for March 1931

SUBJECT.

Management of the Chikmunisamy Chetty Chattram at Dodpet, Mysore.

Proposals fixing the scale of expenditure and appointing Dharmadarses were separately received. Action has been separately taken.

Filed

W/o. Mmy
What is Key?
18/4

1370 - 28

18

W. 1584

The Financial Secretary
Govt. of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

[illegible]

Yours faithfully,

Sir,

[illegible],

Mysore,

16th April, 1928.

[illegible]
Jaddanam, Mysore.

.....

[illegible]

The connected files are submitted.
The endt. Regd. to is not one issued from this Branch.
Dr. calling for it is submitted
19.4.28

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

[illegible]

[illegible]

be addressed [illegible]

[illegible]

19/4

~~[illegible]~~

~~[illegible]~~

The party may be asked to produce a copy of the endorsement

[illegible]

20/4

20/4

2 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 333 [illegible]

[illegible]

either the [illegible]

the Endt. No. H-170 [illegible] 4-10-8

[illegible]

29/4

From Segu Mippa Setty

Petition Dy 24.4.29

Submits the orgl endt issued to him by D.C. stating that you have ordered that the management of the Chattram refd. to with its endowed shop, may be taken from management.

May the petition be sent to M.C. for disposal?

Submitted

AV

29.4.29

NOTE—Contn. sheet

[illegible]

[illegible] for [illegible]

[illegible] 19th June [illegible] from the [illegible] Informal Cabinet [illegible] letter [illegible] stating that [illegible] Seth [illegible] has [illegible] [illegible] Delhi judge's court, [illegible], and he [illegible] for stay of further proceedings in the matter and requesting instructions in the matter, is submitted for [illegible]

[illegible]
6.5.79

[illegible]

[illegible]
7.5.79

6933

(note [illegible])

WD 01-2-DPB 2000 -21 x 28

M. C 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore 192

8 MAY 1929

No. 1694 Ev 2
26.4

FROM

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

[illegible] D 1712

[illegible]

[illegible]

SIR,

Adverting to Government [illegible] 39-2-16 dated 29th September 1928 [illegible] of Chicka Munisami Setty's Chaitra [illegible] endowed properties, I have [illegible] letter No.H.911/28 dated 29th April 1928 [illegible] received from the Deputy Commissioner, [illegible] to be favoured with instructions [illegible] to Government in the Law Department [illegible] and its endowed properties [illegible] limit of 6 months allowed by Sec.31 of the Mysore Religious [illegible] Charitable Institutions Regulation of 1927 [illegible] on the decision of the District Court [illegible] have been filed by Chinnamathy [illegible] Setty.

[illegible] to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R.N. Narasimha Aiyangar.

Muzrai Commissioner.
(In charge.)

[illegible] file (D 1632) has been Subt^d to the member (I) on 7-5-29. After its remarks this will be put up for orders. D.C. reports that the agreeved party has sent in a notice (E) saying that a suit is filed in the Mysore Dist Judge's court on 30-3-29. This probably on account of Court Holidays the Dy. C^r might not have recd. notice from the Court. Action has been taken by the party with 6 mths. The result of the suit has to be awaited. Subt for orders. [illegible] 10/5

In the meantime L R may be consulted with compts

[illegible] 10/5/29

[illegible]

[illegible]

Chikkamunisam [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1923 [illegible] 16 [illegible] to her [illegible] chattram, I have the honor to state that [illegible] office to the [illegible] Chattram [illegible] 1923 intimation [illegible] management of the Chattram [illegible] notice which was sent by [illegible] Manager on 18th October 1923.

2. As per Section 21 of the Mysore Religious [illegible] Regulation of 1927, the period of six months from the date of communication of the Government order to the party Chikka Muthyala Chetty expired on 18th April 1929. On which date the Manager Chikka Muthyala Chetty sent a notice to me through his counsel Mr. P. Narasimha Iyengar, ---

[illegible]

I [illegible] original.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
([illegible])

H. 911/28, D/- [illegible]

NOTICE.

Dated. 18 . 4 . 1929 .

OFFICE OF

MR. B. NARASIMHA IYENGAR, B. A. B. L.

ADVOCATE, MYSORE.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶಿವರಾಂಪೇಟೆ ಒಕ್ಕಿ ನರಸಪ್ಪನವರ ಚೌಕದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್ ಮ|| ಬಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ ಇವರ ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಂದ.

ಮ|| ರಾ|| [illegible]

ಮ|| ರಾ|| ನಮ್ಮ ಕಕ್ಷಿಗಾರರಾದ [illegible] ಇರಾದ ಮೇರೆ ನಿಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿಯಪಡಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ:—

ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ವಿವರಿಸಿರುವ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನಿಮಗೆ ಈ ನೋಟೀಸು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಮ್ಮ ಕಕ್ಷಿಗಾರರು ನಮ್ಮ ಆಫೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮಸನೆ ಮಾಡಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತಾರಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಿಮಗೆ ಈ ನೋಟೀಸು ತಲಪಿದ ——— ದಿನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಆಫೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಾಗಲೀ ಅಥವ ನಮ್ಮ ಕಕ್ಷಿಗಾರರಲ್ಲಾಗಲೀ ಸದರಿ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಇತ್ಯರ್ಥಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಈ ನೋಟೀಸು ಖರ್ಚು ರೂ. ——— ಯನ್ನು ಸಹಾ ಪಾವತಿಮಾಡಿ ಸರಿಯಾದ ದಾಖಲೆ ಪಡೆಯಬೇಕು. ಆ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡದೆ ತಪ್ಪಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕಂಡ ಅಂಶಗಳಿಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಮೇಲೆ ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮ ಜರುಗಿಸಲ್ಪಡುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ಕಕ್ಷಿಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಉಂಟಾಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೋರ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚುಗಳಿಗೂ ನೀವೇ ಗುರಿಯಾಗುತ್ತೀರಿ. ಖಂಡಿತ ತಿಳಿಯುವುದು.

ವಿಷಯ — [illegible] A. 170/15.10.28 [illegible] 30-3-1929 [illegible]

B. Narasimha Iyengar
Advocate

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | App. F. III—21 of 192

5289 / 28
P

Serial No.

The result of the suit has to be awaited

17/5

[illegible] with Comptr

Draft please

18/5

6

D/Ts. Submit

20.5.29

From [illegible] draft re return of [illegible] [illegible]

22/5

6 To [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Sub A

24/6

From

The Mng. Comm.

1694/26.27 dt 17/18 July 1929

requests appl. to the Dy. C's proposal to engage the services of the Public Prosecutor to defend the suit

Draft Sub. for orders

22/7

[illegible]

22/7

[illegible] 1st Member

[illegible] 26.7.29

[illegible]

OFFICE OF THE FIRST COUNCIL
26 JULY 1929
No. 614

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mny File No. 370 App. F. III—2] of 1928

Serial No.

[illegible]
27/7

10 To The Mny Comr
No 191 dt 31-7-29
Approves the proposals refd to in Sl 9, the Charge been g mel from the funds of the L stn.

[illegible] 5/8

11 From
The Mny Commr
No 1694/26-27 dt 4/5th Nov 1929
Forwards for approval after consultation with the L R, a copy of draft Statement to be filed in the Dist. Judge's Court Mys in the case (Chinna Muthyala Setty vs. The Dy Comr. Mysore Dist), to
12 The suit has been ordered to be defended. The draft Statement will have to be sent to the L-R for opinion.
[illegible] 6/11/29 13 Lg Remr)

[illegible] 6/11

14
No copy of the plaint is found in these papers. As such the draft written Statement cannot be scrutinised or approved. Under rule 93 of the Legal affairs Rules when the Govt sanction the defence of a suit, the Govt Pleader has to draft a written statement under instructions from the

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

186

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No.

App. F. III—2]

of 192

Serial No.

Concerned officers and send the same, with the plaint & the connected records, direct, to the Legal Rr for scrutiny & approval. It is the approved statement that has to be signed by the officer & filed in court —

15 In the P U D, it is said that certain changes are necessary in the W.S. as drafted by the Pr. He may therefore be instructed to embody all the suggestions & submit the final draft under rule 93 to the Legal Rr for approval — The date of Hg is 8.11.29 & an adjournment has necessarily to be taken —

6 11 29

16 ~~Send~~ A wire may be sent at once to the Pub. Prosn to obtain an adjn for filing written st & the rules above refd to have to be ~~fl~~ followed.

17 H Secy with Comps

D. M. 6/11

18 Please take action at once as suggested above

7/11

19 Sec to be sent ~~in sent~~. for need full action office Pr. (both drafts & fair were taken by G. Pr)

7/11.

20 Two drafts one to the mug Cam & another to the Pub. prosecutor Mysore is sent for appl. or orders

8/11

H. Secy) 21 forwd

8/11

ETHARCIA SECRETARY
7 NOV. 1929
5508

5612
8/11

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 1928.

Serial No.

12 To Mysore Commr no 9358 et 1 Mr 370 28-12 Dy 7.11.29

Ref

Requests him to send copy of plaint along with the final draft of the statement to be filed as required under Order [illegible] affairs etc

13 To. The Public Prosecutor Mysore no 9 35-4. Mysore 370 28-13 Dy 8.11.29

Requests him to obtain an adjt in the suit no 1 of 29 on the Dist Judges Court Mysore & await further instructions in the matter

Submitted a reminder for approval with reference to sl 12

[illegible]
29/11

14. From, the M.C. Letter no. 698 dy 27.11.29.

Submits a modified written statement to be filed in O.S. no. 1 of 29-30 together with a copy of the Plaint and connected records, and requests approval of Govt to the revised written statement.

23 may be sent to L.R. for Opinion (Ref. para 16).

Submitted
AV 28/11/29 [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

[illegible] 29/11
[illegible] 29/11
[illegible] 29/11
[illegible] 29/11

General and Revenue Secretariat. Imy File No. 370 of 1928.

Serial No.

94 This is a suit under sec 1 of the R & P & Charitable Endowments Regn (92), to cancel the order of the Hons Officer passed under sec 14 taking over the management of the charities in question, on account of the gross mismanagement of the trust by the present plaintiff.

95 No notice of suit under sec 80 CPC is given & this appears to be fatal to its maintainability. This point is taken in para 6 of the written statement.

96 The W.S. has been prepared by PPR myself under ~~is in order and may be approved~~ instructions from the D C. It is in order & may be approved.

Imy 2.12.29 FC sec'y with comps. 4/12/29 5/12

Sub'd 9/12

97 Please put up and draft to the Mc forward the draft W.S. appd by the L.R.

98 Draft Sub for orders 9/12

Imy 9/12

1st Member 11/12

Sub'd
Under the Legal Affairs Rules (Rule 93), it is the PPr that has to send the W.S. to the L.R. direct for approval. A lot of time is wasted if it is sent to the concerned P. Hic officer who is he made to get the approval of L.R. through the department. If appd PPr will be intimated accordingly. Draft put up for orders.

Imy 2/12/29 Issues P. Mc 4/12

Issued 30/7/07 17 29

7300 Ans

The draft W.S. has not been initialled by Mr L.R.

Since initialed by L.R. 18/12/29

NOTE—Contn sheet.

Draft [illegible] PPr [illegible]

vide next page)

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 192

Serial No.

From the [illegible] letter no 690 Dt 11.12.29

Reports that a clause will have to be added in the written statement demanding security from the Plaintiff for the costs of the suit, as he has no property.

7365 / 12/12

Submitted
AV
12.12.29

This may perhaps be sent again to the Bd for revision of the written statement [illegible] as permission to do so being given. The draft [illegible] may also be got initiated by the Ing Amr.

[illegible]
12/12

30 To L.R with compliments
12.12.29

31 For security for costs the [illegible] may be instructed to file a separate appl in the matter. It should not be included in the [illegible].

[illegible]
12/12
13/12

32 The Secy with comps

33 Draft accordingly

Sub.

34 app draft added to & re submitted for appl

[illegible]
13/12

35 [illegible]
14/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPR—80,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat Mwz File No of 1928

Serial No.

15

8981

States that the draft statement is in order and may be filed and as regards security - [illegible] Public Prosecutor

~~to~~ [illegible]

36 Petitions (nos 1055 & 1060) from

16 Sgn Nuppa Setty, Mysore, praying ~~for the~~ that security for the income of the charity court costs may be taken from the plaintiff - (the present manager

37 The M.C has been requested to instruct the P Pr to file a separate application in the matter (vide 15) - the petitions may be filed.

Submitted for orders

[signature] 13/1/30

[signature]

[signature] 17/1

38 May be forwarded to the M C in continuation

[signature] 17/1/30

39 [signature] 17/1

40 Draft submitted

[signature] 18/1/30

41 [signature] 20/1/30

Note—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat Mus File No. 370 of 1928.

Serial No.

17 To The

1521 22-1-30

Forwards the 2 petn in 8/16 ~~from~~ praying to take [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] and Court Costs [illegible]

Sub 24/1/30 [illegible] 25/2

From Sega Thippiah Setty Dodpet, Mysore — Petn praying to get security, [illegible] manager of the Chattram —

To the Muz Comr no 1698 of 13-2-30

Forwards the above petition

Sub (A)

18 Letter No: C 698/29-30 dated 20/3/30

From the Muz: Comnr. Forwards a copy of letter from the Dc. Mysore stating that as no security for Court costs can be taken from the plaintiff as he is a resident Mysorean, the P.P. has been requested to file an application for appointing the Amildar Mysore Taluk as the Official Receiver of the Shop-rent until the suit is decided

42 Subd for kind perusal with refn. to [illegible]

Law Secty 43 For information

Note—Contn. Sheet

Gp 25/3

[illegible] Law Secty

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mng File No 37 of 192

Serial No.

44. Submitted to revenue

28/3/30 29/3 (L.R.) 45. [illegible] 29/3 (Mez Branch)

1476 / 9/5

08 29 3 30

30/5

Sas. 6/6/30

Petition dated 17.6.30 from Mr. Segu Thippaiah Setty. Stating the the resumption of the management ~~[illegible]~~ the chattram and properties may be effected immediately etc as the suit filed by Mr. Muthyla Setty has been finally disposed off. his claim being rejected on 9.6.30.

Finl: Secy's remarks.

46. "References"

Bs. 17/6.

47. Subd with the file. The petition may be sent to the M.C. for ~~report of~~ disposal ~~of the case~~

GS MC 27/6

Fl. Secy)

VS 24.6.30.

120 / 24/6

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

7730

VS 8.7.30

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mus File No. of 192

Serial No.

Another petition 8.4.30 from the same person in this matter is also proposed to be sent to M.C. for disposal & Asst: Secy's approval was also taken.

Subd with a draft forwarding the petition for disposal.

GS MSK 16/7

25. 2/7

20 To. The Muz Court No. 56. g 12-2-30

With reference to Sl 19 forwards 2 pcfs received from Sega Thippaiah Seffr for disposal & requestion to

50. May the papers be closed? (vide M.C's letter dated 30.6.30.) or the question of Court costs for any pursued?

Subd for orders whether a copy of the Court order be called for

GS MSK 16/7

Fi Secy)

17

931 17/7

51. The M.C may be asked to take any further action.

22/7/30

23.7

52. Draft Subd.

GS MSK 23/7

53 Issue

24.7.30

21 To. See Muz Court No. 207 g 25-7-30

With reference to Sl 19. requests him to take no further action and report the result.

Sus:

& EV. SECRETARY

26 JUL 1930

MUZR

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat

Muz File

Serial No

Petition dated 2-9-30 from Segu Thippia praying for early orders being issued to the D.C. and also the S.D.O. for arranging to the daily worship and Samprokshana of the Sri Chamundeswari and Sri Ganapati

Telegram from Segu Thippiah Soliciting urgent orders on the petition.

Fnl: Secy's orders on the telegram

What is this?

Intd/ss 7/9.

5 The Muz. Commr. has been asked to take steps ~~immediately~~ for the resumption of the management of the Chattram & properties endowed thereto. (vide Sl. 20 of this file.) and to report the result.

56 In the petition recd from this man (Segu Thippiah), he states that management has been taken over by Govt. on 28-7-30 and necessary Samprokshana & worship have ~~all~~ to be performed and requests that necessary orders be issued to the D.C. & S.D.O. for arranging to the two functions immediately

57 The petition may be forwarded to the Muz Commr. for necessary action & ~~he~~ be requested to report the result ~~&~~ Subd with reference to Fl. Secy.

Kind

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—6-7-30

Muz File No 370 of 1928-9

General and Revenue Secretariat

remarks on the telegram.

Gs 78

H. Lecy)

5.9.30

58. Subd with a draft for approval

59. issue

6.9.30

Issued no: 465 dated 6-9 30 to the Mic.

Forwards the petition for necessary action with a request to expedite the report called for.

Letter no. 698 dated 5-9-30 from the Muz. Commr. in Mysore.

Forwards for information a copy of letter from the D.C. Mysore stating that the Amildar has taken possession of the chattram and the shops attached to it and that necessary action will be taken to fix a scale and appoint a set of Dharmadarsis.

60. Final: report will be awaited from the Muz: Commr. Subd.

Gs 8/9

H. Lecy)

10.9.30

3062
11.9

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
16 SEPT. 1930
No. 3006

1st member) 61 h 15/9

62 Gs 171

17-9-30

App. F. XI—4]

File No.

Serial No

Sus Regr. No.

Shall a reminder issue to

for a reply to this Office No. dated the

Subject.

[illegible]

Please remind on
25-10-30

Subd a reminder
RS 25/10. Issue
MSR
25/10/30

Subd a reminder
RS 19/11/30. Issue
MSR
19/11/30

Subd a reminder
RS 18/12 Issue
MSR
18/12/30

REMINDERS

No.	Date	
912	25-10-39	
5.	" 30	
1398	18-12-30	
Do 1456	30-12-30	
Do 1688	26-1-31.	

64 Proposals fixing the scale of expenditure and appointing Dharmadarsis were separately received - Action has been separately taken - These

WD 01241—GPB—8000—14-9-26

papers may be recorded.

Sub

G.S. [illegible]

NB

65) Yes

10.3.31

B

10.3.31

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue.....

Signed by .....

st of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No.

To

.....

With ref. to his application dy 16th April 1929, Mr. Sagn Shippa Setty, Doddapet, Mysore is requested to produce for ref. either the orgl. or a copy of the endt no H. 170/-AC dy. 16th Octr 1928 refd. to in by him.

B. O.

20/4/29

Dt 1 Sec'y

over

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28

[illegible] SECRETARY
6 APR. 1929
6454

26

Mug 370-28-13 D 1132

To. The Secretary to Government
Financial Department
Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir, With reference to your office endorsement No. 2333 / Mug 370-28-2 graciously granted to me on 23-4-29 I beg to enclose herewith the original endorsement No. H. 170/AC dated 16-10-1928 as has been written to be sent to your office.

I request that this original endorsement may be kindly re-sent to me after its purpose is served.

The Chathram in reference has not as yet been taken over by government and is being still mismanaged.

I may therefore be permitted

to request once more that the authorities concerned may expedite progress in this direction.

I beg to remain, Sir,
Your most obedient servant.
[illegible signature]

Mysore
24-4-29

4981

No. H.911/28.

Encl. one.

FINANCIAL BRANCH
1 MAY 1929

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated Mysore, 29th April 1929

370-28-4

GENL. & REV. SECTA
1 MAY 1929
FINANCIAL BRANCH,

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

To

R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
(Financial Department), *Bangalore.*

SIR,

D 1670

Chikkamunisamy Chetty's Chattram - Doddapet - Mysore City - resumption of management.

--- -------

I have the honour to submit herewith a copy of my letter No. H. 911/28 dated 29.4.1929 addressed to the Huzrai Commissioner in Mysore in the matter of the resumption of the management of the Chikkamunisamy Chetty's Chattram, Doddapet, Mysore City.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.
(Absent from Head Quarters)

M.S.

No. H.911/28.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated Mysore, 29th April 1929

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

R.A.Narasimha Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai
~~Commissioner~~ *Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.* (in charge).

SIR,

Chikkamunisamy Chetty's Chattram - Doddapet - Mysore City - resumption of management.

With reference to your memo No. 1094 of 26-27 dated 5/6th October 1928 forwarding copy of G.O. No. 691-2/Muz. 89-26-16 dated 21/29th September 1928 directing the resumption of the management of the above Chattram, I have the honour to state that a notice was issued by this office to the manager, Chinnamuthyala Chetty on 15/16th October 1928 intimating the fact of Government order directing the resumption of the management of the Chattram with its endowed shops. The receipt of thi notice which was sent by registered post has been acknowledged by the Manager on 18th October 1928.

2. As per Section 21 of the Mysore Religious and Charitable institutions Regulation of 1927, the period of six months from the date of communication of the Government order to the party Chinna Muthyala Chetty expired on 18th April 1929. On which date the manager Chinna Muthyalachetty sent a notice to me through his counsel Mr. B.Narasimha Iyengar, Advocate, Mysore stating that he has filed a suit on 30th March 1929 in the District Judge's Court, Mysore, and requesting that further proceedings in the matter may be stayed, but no notice or order from the District Judge's Court has yet been received in this office.

3. I request the favour of instructions in the matter.

4. The notice received from the Manager is enclosed in original.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- R.P.Ballappa,
For Deputy Commissioner.

(Copy)
For Deputy Commr.
Mysore

TO

Muz 370-28-5

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., L.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Respected Sir,

As per Muzrai Regulation the six months' time allowed to Chinna Muthyala Chetty to vacate the choultry was expired on the 17th April 1929. Inspite he has not vacated not the Taluk Officers took any action to send him out.

I am sorry to bring to your kind notice the negligence of the Taluk Officer in taking further action in the -- matter. I hope even now a speedy action will be taken in the matter.

Please return the original endorsement No.170/A.C. sent to your honour's office on 24-4-29 which was sent as per letter No.2333/Muz.370-28-2 dated 23-4-29. Secondly I beg to request you to kindly issue me a copy of order passed by you in choultry affairs free of cost as I am a poor man.

The birthday of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore is fast approaching many outsiders will be given accommodation if the choultry is vacated by Mutyala Chetty.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Appendix F G -1

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 27/5/29

Signed by [signature]

st of enclosures.....

Copied by [signature] 23/5

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No.

To

..... Mr M. C. .....

Sir

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no 1694 Dy 8.5.29 soliciting instructions in the matter of the assumption of the management of Chikka Muniswamy Setty's chattram in Mysore I am directed to state that the result of the suit filed by Chinna Muthyalu Setty in the Distt Court, Mysore has to be awaited.

[signature] 23/5

I have etc

[signature] Dy Over C. Secty

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

Section [illegible]

File No. 3 [illegible] - 28

Serial No. 6

No. 2635 [illegible]

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 27/5/29

Signed by [illegible] Someswara [illegible]

× No 1632 — Dt 24.4.29.
1584 — 16.4.29.
1757 — 16.5.29.

st of enclosures.....

Copied by [illegible] 7.3/5

Examined by.....

To

.....

With ref. to his marginally noted petitions praying for the assumption of the management of Chikka Munisami chetty's chattram, Mysore, Mr Segu Nippa Setty, Mysore, is informed that the result of the suit since filed in the matter in the Distt Court; Mysore, is awaited.

Endt Dt 16.10.28 received with his petitions, is refused as prayed for

B.O.

over

[illegible] 22 - Sect

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28

28

19 1828

To Inward 370-28-7

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
27 MAY 1929

The Financial Secretary to
Government of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore, Bangalore.

Respected Sir,

In continuation of my reminder of 22-4-29 I beg to request you to kindly reply me whether it is possible to send Mr. Muthyala Chetty from choultry. It is most regretful that no action is taken on my previous applications.

Now the Birthday of His Highness the Maharaja is fast approaching and many outsiders will be accomodated if the choultry is vacated.

It is not proper to issue stay order from court if an application is put from a man who is not concerned.

Kindly return my endorsement and an early reply is solicited.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Mysore.
25th May 29.

S/d/- Thippiah, Doddapet,
Mysore.

May be deposited
(Vide endt no 2635/370-28-6
Dt 27.5.29)

Submitted
5.6.29

By Regd Post

To

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
9 JULY 1929
No.

THE FINANCIAL SECRETARY,

with the Government of Mysore,

B A N G A L O R E.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
10 JUL 1929
MUZRAI
No. 40
Muz 370-28-8

Respected Sir,

In reply to your Endorsement No. 2635/Muz.370-28-6 of 23/27th May 1929, I beg to bring to your kind notice that no justification is made by Government before issuing this Endorsement to me. What if Mr.Muthyalu Chetty has filed a suit against Government when he has no power: it is only to pull on time and nothing else. There is no need of waiting for Civil Court Order, as per release Bond of his father and himself, which is already submitted by Sub Registrar of Mysore.

Under the above circumstances I request that an early arrangement may kindly be made in the matter and if it is not possible at least the shops adjoining to Choultry may be taken over to Government charge.

I hope that your honour will kindly do the needful in the matter.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Mysore. 8-7-29.

Sd/.S.Thippiah, doddapet,
Mysore.

This file is submitted.
An endr. has already been issued.
May be deposited with connected papers

Submitted
13.7.29 15/7

Yes. 15/7

16/7

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ...17th..J u..l..y..... 1929.

No. 1694 Enq
26.7

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
18 JULY
No.

MUZRAI
19.96

TO

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

Referring to your letter No.2634/Muz.370-28-5-6 dated 27th May 1929, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.H.911/28 dated 11th July 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District stating that the suit filed by Chinnamuthyala Setty in re the ----- management of Chikamunisami Chetty's chattram at Mysore has been -- registered as O.S.No.1 of 29-30 on the file of the District Judge, Mysore, and to request that the Deputy Commissioner's proposal to engage the services of the Public Prosecutor to defend the suit -- after receipt of summons may be approved, the charge being met from the funds of the institution.

I have the hononor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT . ...

... .

....

Letter No.H.911-28 dated 11th July 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

---

Suit-management-Chikkamunisamy Chetty's Chattram-Mysore City.

With reference to your Memo No.1694 of 26-7 dated 5th June 1929 in the matter of assumption of the management of Chikkamunisamy Chetty's Chattram, Doddapet, Mysore City, I have the honor to state that the -- Public Prosecutor, Mysore Division, intimates that the suit filed by the manager Chinnamuthyalasetty against the Government order directing the resumption of management of the Chattram with its endowed shops, has been registered as O.S.No.1 of 1829-30 on the file of the District Judge's Court, Mysore, and is posted for hearing on 30th July 1929.

2. The Public Prosecutor will be requested to defend the suit after the receipt of summons from the Court.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Emg

File No. 370-28

Serial No. 10

No. 191

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 31-7-29

Signed by Mr. T. V. S.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

To

The Mysore Commr

Sir

with refce to yr letter no 1699/26-2 Dy 17/18th July 29, requesting appl. to the proposal of the Dy. Coni's Mysore Dist to engage the services of the Public Prosecutor to defend the suit filed by Chinnamunyala Sein ~~Chik Munn Setty~~ in re the management of Chikamunn Sain Chetty's chattram at Mysore, ~~[illegible]~~ I am directed to state that your proposals are ~~appro~~ approved, the charge being met from the funds of the institution I have eg

over

22/7

22/7

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28

Mysore
Presented in person at Mysore today 23/10/29

To

The Financial Secretary
to the Government of H.H. The Maharaja of Mysore,
Camp- M y s o r e.

24 OCT. 1929

From.
Segu Thippiah,
Doddapet, Mysore.

Honoured Sir,

Re- CHIKKAMUNISAMICHETTY CHATRAM. Doddapet, Mysore.

I beg to bring to your kind notice the few following lines hoping that my request will be granted.

There is Civil Case pending in the Law Courts about the management of the Chatram. The Chatram had been closed for the past 2 months and no body is fed there.

In this connection I beg to bring to your kind notice that the Chattram may be conducted as per Mamool preparing meals there only and feeding the students etc., as used to be and let the case may continue in the Courts. Many requests of mine to the Amildar to inspect the Chattram proved no avail and he never cared to inspect the same.

I, therefore request you to kindly order for the continuance of the Chattram and also for the ~~xx~~ feeding of the persons there at an early date. For which act of kindness I [illegible] rateful to your honour,

I beg to remain,
Honoured Sir,
Your Honour's most obedient servant,
[illegible]
Sd/-Segu Thippiah, doddapet, Mysore.

Mysore.
24-10-29.

Sirs file is Submtr. The result of the Civil Suit may perhaps be awaited. The petition Submtr may perhaps be sent to the M.C. for report [illegible] for the feeding of students

M. C. 13]

5 NOV 19

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 4th Nov. 1929.

5

No. 1094 En 2
26.7.

GENL & PEV SECRETARIAT
6 NOV 1929
Fo. 744

FROM Rajakaryapravina
P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Muz 370-28-11

To Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His

Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Financial Department.

SIR,

In continuation of previous correspondence re O.S.No.1 of 29 on the file of the District Judge, Mysore (Chinnamuthyala Setty VS. the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District) re management of -- Chikmunisami Setty's Chattram, Mysore, I have the honor to forward -- herewith the draft written statement received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter dated 30th October 1929 (copy enclosed) and to request you to kindly consult the Legal Remembrancer and convey the approval of Government for its being filed in the Court on 8th November 1929. The Public Prosecutor will be requested to verify the fact mentioned in para 3 of the Deputy Commissioner's letter and make necessary addition to the written statement.

The favour of early approval is solicited.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[signature]

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.II.911/28 dated 30th October 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Suit O.S.No.1 of 29-30, District Judge's Court, Mysore -- (Chinnamuttiyala Setty and the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.)

With reference to your letter No.1694 of 26-27 dated 14th October 1929 requesting to be informed of the stage of the above suit I have the honor to state that the Public Prosecutor, Mysore Division intimates that the suit is posted to 8-11-1929 for filing the written statement of the Defendant.

2. The draft written statement since received from the Public Prosecutor is submitted herewith for avour of obtaining the approval of the Legal Remembrancer. The written statement will be signed by me and returned to the Public Prosecutor after the approval of the Legal Remembrancer is conveyed.

3. In this connection, it may be stated that the plaintiff Chinna Muttiyala Setty has no cause of action as he has executed a release deed in favour of his father and the founder of the Chattram about the year 1889 relinquishing all his rights over the property of his father. As this point has not been touched up in the written statement, the Public Prosecutor will be requested to include the above fact in the written statement after reference to the connected record already sent to him.

4. As the case is posted to 8-11-29, the approval of Government may kindly be conveyed early.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

Section Mus

File No. 370 - 28

Serial No. 12

No. 935. Enc. 1.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue.....8.11.29.....

Signed by ..Mr. Charles Karonka.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

To

.....Mus. Commr.....

Sir

will refce to your letter no 1694/26 27 Dy 4/5th nov 29 forwarding for approval after consultation ~~the~~ with the Legal Remembrancer, the draft copy of the statement to be filed in suit. O.S No 1-of 29 on the file of the Dist. Judge Mysore (China-Muthyala Setty. Vs. One Dy. Com Mysore), I am directed to ~~send~~ ~~reply~~ state ~~you inform~~ that the Pub. Pros has been ~~wired~~ actually win to obtain an

over

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28

adjournment in the case, ~~a copy~~ for

~~of wh is enclosed for your info~~ of having a written statement,

~~Used~~ I am to request you to send immediately a

copy of the plaint, without wh.

the draft written statement ~~[illegible]~~ (herewith returned)

cannot be scrutinised or appd. - all further changes or additions found necessary, as suggested in your letter under reply, may be embodied in the final draft before its submission ~~and sent for~~.

~~Am~~ Further, I am to invite your

~~Hand~~ attention to Rule 93 of the

rules ~~of~~ relating to the conduct of legal affairs ~~Rules~~ and request

you to see that the procedure therein

prescribed therein is ~~[illegible]~~

followed - copy of the plaint with the connected records and the ~~[illegible]~~ revised draft w. statement

I have etc

~~may~~ should not ~~for the~~

~~LR's~~ with delay for obtaining

~~LR's [illegible]~~ legal opinion.

[illegible]

8/2

H. Secy.

[illegible]

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 8.11.1928

Signed by Mr. Charl. Varanda

marginally noted

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section [illegible]

File No. 370-28

Serial No. 13.

No.

To

The Public Prosecutor
Mysore

Sir

In conforming the telegram sent by you yesterday, requesting you to obtain an adjournment in the case of Chinna Muthyala Setty, O.S. No. 29 on the file of the Dist. Judge, Mysore, posted to 8th inst ~~[illegible]~~ I am directed to ~~forward a copy of the Tele. sent and~~ to request you to await further instructions from the Mys. Comm in

over

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28

me matter

I have etc

8/11

[illegible]

Copy to M.C. [illegible]

Copy

Public Prosecutor,

Mysore

obtain adjournment in

--usetty case Number one of

twentynine District Judge's Court

Mysore for filing written

Statement Further instructions follow

FINSEC,

LITTLE'S ORIENTAL BALM.

A. POSTS TELEGRAPHS.

Class } Prefix } ___ Code ___

Inland Telegram

Office of Origin, Date and Service Instructions	Words		H M
		Sent at	
	Charge Rs	A.	To
Nothing to be written by the Sender above this line			By

If this telegram is to be classed EXPRESS write the class here Express

If this telegram is on State Business the word STATE should be written in this space

When a reply is to be prepaid, write the words "Reply Paid" and the amount in the space below These words are not charged for

TO { Name Public Prosecutor, Address Mysore Telegraph Office

Please	obtain adjournment in
Chinnamuthyalusetty	case Number one of
twentynine	District Judge's Court
Mysore	for filing written
Statement	Further instructions follow

FROM { FINSEC.

PLEASE SIGN OVERLEAF.

No

Space for Postage Stamps.

The Stamps must be affixed by the Sender and must not overlap Any Stamp for which there is not room here should be affixed at the back of this Form Stamps cut, torn or defaced are not accepted The *ACCURACY* of telegrams is not guaranteed the Sender must accept *ALL RISKS* arising from non-delivery, errors or delays Applications regarding *Refunds* of the cost of this telegram should be addressed to the Officer in charge, Telegraph Check Office, Calcutta, and *Complaints* respecting it to the Director General of Posts and Telegraphs, Traffic Branch Calcutta, within two months of the date of the telegram The receipt granted for the telegram should be enclosed with the reference

DRINK LIPTON'S TEA.

SILVER CLOUD CIGARETTES

Advertising Agents Indian States and Eastern Agency { 70, Apollo Street, BOMBAY. 5, Temple Chambers CALCUTTA.

Dup. Bros.—Printers—Cal.—2824. 23-3 24- 23 23 802 (B.-S.)

7/4

13]

27 NOV

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 27 192

No. 698 Ex 2 Files
29-30

28 NOV 1929
10 842

FROM

Rajakaryaprayana
P. C. D'Souza, Esq.,

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

Mez 370-92-14

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.935/ Mez.370-92-12 dated 31 November 1929, re mismanagement of the affairs of the Chika Munisami Setty's chattram in Mysore, I have the honor to forward herewith a modified written statement to be filed in C.S. No.1 of 29-30 on the file of the District Judge's Court, Mysore, together with a copy of the plaint and connected records, received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter of the 20th instant (copy enclosed) and to request that the approval of Government to the revised written statement may be kindly communicated early:

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

our most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.H.911/28 dated 20th November 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Suit-O.S.No.1 of 29-30 - District Judge's Court - Mysore-Chinnamuthyala Setty Vs. the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.)

With reference to your memo No.698 of 29-30 dated 9th November 1929 regarding the written statement to be filed in connection with the above suit, I have the honor to resubmit herewith the draft of the written statement received from the Public Prosecutor, Mysore Division, duly noting the suggestion made in this office letter No. H.911/28 dated 30th October 1929 regarding the release deed executed by the Plaintiff in favour of his adopted father renouncing all his rights over the properties of the family.

2. The entire file on the subject ending with this office No.R.H.170 A.C.dated 12th October 1928 together with a copy of the plaint is submitted herewith.

3. The Public Prosecutor states that an adjournment of the case, for

filing the

the written st tement, [illegible] be o

[illegible]ct Co r

"C o [illegible] "

[illegible]

For [illegible]uzra[illegible] Co[illegible] [illegible] er.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To

The Public Prosecutor
Mysore.

Date of issue..... 7/12/29

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Sir,

It is observed that in O.S. 10 of 29-30 (Chikkamuthyala Setti vs Deputy Com., Mysore) on the file of the District Court, Mysore, the draft written statement prepared under the instructions of the Deputy Com. is sent by you to that Officer for approval. I am to invite your attention to rule 93 of the Rules for the Conduct of Legal affairs of Government

over

WD 063—GPB—20,000—1-8-29

under which, such statements have to be ~~sent by you~~ prepared by you in consultation with the officer concerned and submitted with the connected to the Legal Remembrancer ~~the~~ direct for approval, and it is the statement thus approved that has to be subscribed by the officer and presented to the Court.

This would also save much time and unnecessary correspondence and I am to request you to ~~kindly~~ note it for your future guidance.

I have etc

P. M.

Legal Rt
Secy to Govt
Law Deptt

[illegible]
2/12

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 11 *192* .

No. 698 Enl / 29-30

FROM

Rajakarya Pravina
G. D'Souza, [illegible]

12
19.941

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
11 DEC. 1929
No.

TO

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, [illegible]
Secretary to the Government of [illegible]
Highness the [illegible] of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter C.No. [illegible]/29-30 dated 22nd November 1929 re O.S.No. [illegible] of 29-30 on the file of the District Judge's Court, Mysore, I have the honor to forward herewith for favour of information and reference in connection with the written statement to be filed in the court petition dated 3rd December 1929 received from one Mr. Sagu Thimmiah, Mysore, stating among other matters, that Chinna Muthyala Setty has no claim on the management of the Chikmunisami setty's chattram and that security for Court costs should be claimed from the plaintiff as he has no property and is utilizing the rent realized from the shops attached to the chattram. A clause will have to be added in the written state demanding security from the plaintiff for the costs of the suit.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ra Narasimha Chy Myaf.

Assistant Commissioner
For Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from station.)

Per Rayport

xt
Mr R

To

The Muzrai Commissioner

in Mysore, Bangalore.

Rem

From

Segu Thippiah,

Doddapet, Mysore.

Respected Sir,

I beg to state the following facts with regard to the O.S. of December 4th, 1929-30 No. 1, of the District Judge's Court, Mysore.

In this case one Chinna Mutyala Setty of Mysore as plaintiff has sued the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, claiming management of Chinna Muthuswami Chetty's Choultry. At present the management is vested both in the hands of the Government and the plaintiff of this case.

I know personally the plaintiff to be a gentleman without any property of whateverkind. The Deputy Commissioner would do well to claim security for the court costs from him before proseeding with the case. The plaintiff is utilising the rent to conduct the case. and there will be no other source of recovering the money thus spent from him. Therefore, your kindself would do well in asking him to deposit in the Court the rent collected from the shops connected to Choultry. And I pray that the Worship of the Sri Chamundeswary is to be done by the fund and to that effect necessary orders are prayed to be issued to the Amildar.

Moreover, so far as I know the plaintiff by himself has no claim to bring the suit itself. The heir to the management

Per Reg post

xt
M R

To

The Muzrai Commissioner

in Mysore, Bangalore.

Reg

From

Segu Thippiah,

Doddapet, Mysore.

Respected Sir,

I beg to state the following facts with regard to the O.S. of December 4th, 1929-30 No. 1, of the District Judge's Court, Mysore.

In this case one Chinna Mutyala Setty of Mysore as plaintiff has sued the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, claiming management of Chinna Muthuswami Chetty's Choultry. At present the management is vested both in the hands of the Government and the plaintiff of this case.

I know personally the plaintiff to be a gentleman without any property of whateverkind. The Deputy Commissioner would do well to claim security for the court costs from him before proseeding with the case. The plaintiff is utilising the rent to conduct the case. and there will be no other source of recovering the money thus spent from him. Therefore, your kindself would do well in asking him to deposit in the Court the rent collected from the shops connected to Choultry. And I pray that the Worship of the Sri Chamundeswary is to be done by the fund and to that effect necessary orders are prayed to be issued to the Amildar.

Moreover, so far as I know the plaintiff by himself has no claim to bring the suit itself. The heir to the management

management of the choultry is not Chinna Mutyala Setty, plaintiff, but all the heirs-at-law of the founder of the Choultry, namely, Chinna Munisamy Setty. I make bold to state this as I am also one of the heirs-at-laa of the late founder. When the late Sir, K. Sheshadri Iyer proposed to shift the Choultry to Chamarajpet from Doddapet, we, heirs-at-law proposed against the proposal and gave a written statement to that effect. If need be the whole record from the office of the Secretary to the Dewan can be called for.

Begging for a kind and favourable reply,

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Mysore,
3-12-29.

27089
P 89

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
2 DEC 1929
No.

6788

To

The Financial Secretary,

Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

GENL. & REV SECRETARIAT
3 DEC 1929
D. 880
MYSORE

From

Segu Thippiah,

Doddapet, Mysore.

Respected Sir,

I beg to state the following facts with regard to the O.S.of 4th December 1929-30. 1, of the District Judge's Court, Mysore.

In this case one Chinna Mutyala Setty of Mysore as plaintiff has sued the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore claiming the management of Chinna Muthusamy Chetty - Choultry. At present the management is vested both in the hands of the Government and the plaintiff of this case.

I know personally the plaintiff to be a gentleman without any property of whatever kind. The Deputy Commissioner would do well to claim security for the Court costs from him before proceeding with the case and also it isbetter to ask him to deposit the rent collected in the Court itself lest there will be no other sorce of recovering the samd from the said gentleman. Regarding his stsbility a kind perusal of the order of the previous Deputy Commissioner on 4th July 1928. Moreover, so far as I know the plaintiff by himself hasno claim to bring the suit. The heir to the management of the Choultry

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT . . . . . .

Section Mus

File No. 370-28

Serial No. 15

No. 1184 Encl two files

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Mus Cani

Date of issue 14-12-29

Signed by Mr T.K. Somesvara Ayy

with reference to your letter no 6 9 8 29 10 12 29 ~~no 6 9 8 29 27 11 29~~ ~~submitting for approval a~~ in re the draft written statement to be filed in O S no 1 of 29 30 on the file of the Dist Judge Mysore in Y Chicka Kumaraswami Sothis Chatiam at Mysore ~~bags~~ I am directed to ~~[illegible]~~ state that the draft statement is in order and ~~[illegible]~~ may be filed

2 The connected records received with the above letter are returned herewith

I have cf

[illegible] 1,2

[illegible] 11/12

[illegible]

bonds ~~for~~ security for costs the P.Pr may be instructed to file a separate appln in matter. This should not be included in the written statement.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by [illegible] 14/12

Examined by .....

over

WD063—GPB—20,000—1-8-29

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 1581

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 22-1-30

Signed by Mr. T. K. S. [illegible]

To

The M.C.

Sir,

I am directed to forward ~~herewith~~ for disposal two petitions from Mr Sign Thippiah Setty, Doddapet, Mysore, about the management of Chikmunisami Chetty's Choultry at Doddapet, Mysore in continuation of this office letter No. 1187/Muz 370-28-15 dated 14-12-29

I have etc

[illegible]

for Secy to Govt
Finl Deptt

List of enclosures.....

Copied by [illegible]

Examined by.....

[illegible] 14/1/30.

WD.063—GPB—20,000—1-8-29

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 1581

Diary No. of letter replied to .. ..

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 22-1-30

Signed by Mr. T. K. S. [illegible]

To

The M.C.

Sir,

I am directed to forward ~~herewith~~ for disposal two petitions from Mr Sgn Thippiah Setty, Doddapet, Mysore, about the management of Chikmunisami Chetty's Choultry at Doddapet, Mysore in continuation of this office letter No. [illegible] Muz 370-28-15 dated 14-12-29

I have etc

[illegible]

for Secy to Govt
Finl Deptt

List of enclosures

Copied by [illegible]

Examined by.....

WD 063—GPB—20,000—1 8-29

To

The Financial Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
BANGALORE.

D 1228

Petitioner:- Segu Thippiah Setty,
Dodpet, Mysore.

Sir,

Re: The mismanagement of Chikka Muniswami Chetty's Choultry situated at Doddapet, Mysore.

The Petitioner begs to state as follows:,

1. The Muzrai Officers have decided that the present Manager, Mr. Chinna Muthyala Chetty is unfit to manage the Choultry and they have also passed orders to the effect that the management should be taken away by the Government itself.

2. The present Manager, Mr. Chinna Muthyala Chetty who still continues to mismanage the Choultry and who is maintaining himself by the income from the Choultry has filed a suit in the District Court at Mysore just to delay the change of management ordered by the Government.

3. It may be kindly insisted that the Manager should give some security in the said court for the income of the choultry and the court costs.

4. In cases like these it is quite essential that the petitioner and others who are acquainted with the affairs of the Choultry be heard before the disposal of the said case.

In view of the foregoing facts and the previous applications in the matter I pray that early orders may kindly be passed to get the proper security furnished

is file is
ntmtr - Similar
recurrence petitions
have been sent
to M.C. for disposal
This petition
will be kept
in the file.
The result of
the suit is being
awaited.
AV
8.2.30.
8/2
Send this
to in
in [illegible]
10/2
[illegible]
AV
11/2/30
11/2

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Muz

File No. 370-28

Serial No. 1699/Gen

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To

Mr. M. C.

Date of issue 13-2-30

Signed by Mr. O. K. S. [illegible]

Sir,

In Contn of this office letter no 1521 - Muz. 370-28-1, Dy 12 1-30, I am directed to forward herewith copy of another petition no 1228 from Segu Nippiah Setty, ~~Dod~~ Dodpet Mysore, re Chikka Munisamy Setty's chattram in Mysore.

I have etc.

Dft [illegible] Fine Secty

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD063—GPB—20,000—1-8-30

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 16th March 1930.

2231

No. C 698/29-30

FROM

Rajakaryapravina
P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

GENL 24 MAR 1930 1531

To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

Referring to the concluding portion of your letter No.1184/Muz.370-28-15 dated 14-12-29 in the matter of filing a separate application for security of costs in O.S. No.1 of 1929-30 on the file of the District Judge, Mysore, (Re: Chickamuniswami Setty's Chattram at Mysore), I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.911/28 dated 17-2-30 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, stating that as no security for Court costs can be taken from the Plaintiff as he is a resident Mysorean, the Public Prosecutor has been requested to file an application for appointing the Amildar of the Mysore Taluk, as the Official Receiver of the shop-rent, until the suit is decided.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. N. Narasimha Aiyangar
For Muzrai Commissioner.
In Absent from HQ quarters

A.A.M.

Letter No.911/28 dated 17-2-30 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Suit - Management - Chikkamuniswamy Chetty's Chattram - Dodpet - Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.698 of 29-30 dated 10/13-2-30 requesting the submission of a report as to the action taken on the previous applications of Mr. Segu Thippa Setty praying for security for Court Costs being taken from Chinnamuthala Setty in the suit filed by him against the order of resumption of the management of the above Chattram, I have the honor to state that the Public Prosecutor to whom the petitions of Segu Thippa Setty had been sent for taking necessary action, intimates that no security for Court costs can be taken from the Plaintiff Chinna Muthala Setty as he is a resident Mysorean. As the Plaintiff has been collecting and utilizing the shop rent himself and as some more time may elapse before a decision in the suit could be obtained from the District Judge's Court, the Public Prosecutor has been requested to file a separate application in the Court for appointing the Amildar of Mysore Taluk as the Official Receiver, until the suit is decided.

The petitioner has also been informed of the fact by means of an endorsement and asked to go to the Public Prosecutor and give any information that he desires in the interest of the Institution.

The enclosures received with your letter are retransmitted herewith.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

(8)

M.C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ...28th June ....1930 .

OFF FIRST M 8 JULY 1930 No 197

Mur. GS 1/7/30

No. 698/29-30.
Encls. 2.

FROM

Rajakaryapravina
P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT 1 JUL 1930 20

FINANCIAL SECRETARY 1 JULY

To

Rajasevaparayana
P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

Management - Chikkamunisami Setty's Chattram - Doddapet, Mysore City.

In continuation of this office letter No.C.~~968~~ 698/29-30 dated 20-3-1930 regarding O.S. No.1 of 29-30 (Chinnamuthyala Setty Vs. Government on the file of the District Judge's Court, Mysore, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner reports that the Court has held that the suit is not maintainable for want of proper notice under Section 80 of the C.P.C. and rejected the plaint on 9-6-30 and that he has accordingly instructed the Sub Division Officer, Mysore, to give immediate effect to the order passed by him under Section 21 of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation of 1927 for taking over the management of the Chattram by Government. Copies of Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.H.911/28 dated 16-6-30 and Public Prosecutor's letter No.520 dated 9-6-30 are herein enclosed for kind reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

He is subd to the Fl. Secy & this may vide D.No: 2008) ~~This~~ be subd to him in continuation for perusal.
MSH Fl. Secy) VS 5.7.30

A.A.M.

S/ (- member) 8/7/30 VS

Mur 9/7/30 VS 10.7.30

Letter No.H.911/28 dated 16-6-30 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Management - Chikkamunisamisetty's Chattram - Doddapet, Mysore City.

In continuation of this office letter No.H.911/28 dated 18-2-30 regarding O.S. No.1 of 29-30 (Chinnamuthyala Setty Vs. Government) on the file of the District Judge's Court, Mysore, I have the honor to state that the Public Prosecutor, Mysore Division, intimates that the Court has held that the suit is not maintainable for want of proper notice under Section 80 of the C.P.C., and that therefore the Court has rejected the plaint on 9-6-30.

Under the circumstances, the Sub Division Officer, Mysore, has been instructed to give immediate effect to the order passed by this office under Section 21 of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation of 1927.

A copy of Public Prosecutor's letter No.520 dated 9-6-30 is enclosed for perusal.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.520 dated 9-6-30 from the Public Prosecutor, Mysore Division, Mysore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

I have the honor to report that O.S. 1 of 1929-30 (Chinnamuthyala Setty Vs Government) on the file of the District Judge, Mysore, was disposed of to-day. The Court has held that the suit is not maintainable for want of proper notice under Section 80 of the Code of Civil Procedure. The plaint was therefore rejected under Order 7 Rule 11 C.P.C.

A suit for the cancellation of the order of the Muzrai Officer must be brought within six months from the date of the order. But the order complained of was passed on 15-10-28. The Plaintiff is therefore precluded from bringing a fresh suit, even if he should issue a proper notice now.

It is reported that Mr. Chinnamuthyala Setty is recovering the rents the shops of the Chattram buildings and has completely neglected the maint ance of the temple. The order of the Deputy Commissioner dated 12-11-28 di ing the Sub Division Officer, Mysore, to execute the order passed on 15-10 may be given effect to.

File No.L.H. Dis.No.368 of 1928, delivered to me in Court on 6-6-30 i herewith returned.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.

Section Muz.

File No 370-28.

Serial No. 20.

No 56 | Enc 2

Diary No. of letter replied to.....D. 20

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 193

Date of issue ... 12 - 7 - 30 ...

Signed by.... Mr. V. S. Sastry ...

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

To

The Muz. Comm^r. in Mysore.

Sir,

Resumption of the management of Chickka munisamy Setty's Chattram at Doddapet, Mysore.

With reference to Correspondence ending with your letter No: 698/29-30 dated 30. 6. 30 on the subject referred to above, I am directed to forward for disposal 2 petitions dated 17. 6. 30 & 8. 7. 30 from Sgn Thippaiah of Mysore requesting what steps may be

taken immediately for the resumption of the management of the chattram and properties in view of the ~~final adjudication~~ rejection by the Dr: Judge Mysore ~~in~~ of the claim of ~~the~~ Mr. Chinna Muthuyala Setty.

I have etc

11.7.30

For Finl: Secy: to Govt

GS 10/7

25th July

Rajakaryapravina
P.G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"Management of the Chikkanunisany Setty's Chattram, Doddapet, Mysore."

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 698 of 1929-30 dated 30th June 1930 on the subject referred to above, I am directed to request you to take necessary further action and report the result to Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for to Government,
Financial Department.

Fair copy.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 25 7 30

Signed by Mr V S Sastry

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No.

To

The Mus. Comm.
in Mysore

Sir.

"Management of the Chickkamunisamy Setty's chatt Doddapet Mysore"

With reference to the Correspondence ending with yr er No 698/29-30 dated 30th June 1930 on the subject referred to above I am directed to request you to take necessary further action and report the result to Govt I have etc.

24 7 30 ~~Over~~

For Fl. Secy
GS
23/7

WD 063—GPB—20,000—1-8-29

POSTS TELEGRAPHS. Mr Gt. 58

350 mrs

TICE	Charges to pay.		Office Stamp.
inquiry made respecting this Telegram.	Rs.	As.	

What is this 3/4/30

3.9.30 5 P.M.

...gin.)	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.	Words.
myre					

Recd. here at H. M.

Financial

Bangalore

Solicit urgent orders

Segu Thippeah

B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Bros., Printers, Calcutta—1237 (B 206)—8-2-29—2,50 000 Bks

6th September

S.P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

I am directed to forward herewith for favour of necessary action petition dated 2nd September 1930 from Segu Thippiah of Mysore stating that eversince the Chickmunisamy Chetty's Chattram was taken over under the management of Government the daily worship of the deities of Sri Chamundeswari and Sri Ganapathy have been stopped and praying that necessary orders may be issued to the Deputy Commissioner and the Sub Division Officer, Mysore in the matter and to request you to expedite the submission of the report called for in this office letter No. 207 dated 25th July 1930.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
For Financial Secretary to Government

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 193 .

Date of issue 6.7.30

Signed by Mr V. S. Sastry

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No.

To

The Mus. Commr
in Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to forward herein for favour of necessary action a petition dated 2.9.30 from Sri Thippiah of Mysore ~~[illegible]~~ stating that ever since the Chickamunisamy Chettiar Chattram was taken over under the management of Govt: the daily worship of the deities of Sri Chamunderwari and Sri Ganapathy have been stopped and praying that necessary orders may be issued to this and the S. Do Mysore in the matter

[over

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

and to request you to expedite the submission of the report called for in this office letter no. 207 dated 25 7 30.

I have etc

6.9.30

For H Secy

6/9

Dmy: 386 7K
6.9.30

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 4th September 1930.

Nor G.S.

No 698 Eng: VE
29-30

FROM

S. P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.56/Muz.370-28-20 dated 12th July 1930 re management of Chick --- Munisami Setty's chattram at Mysore, I have the honor to forward herewith for favour of information copy of letter No.H.911/28 dated 28th August 1930 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District ---- stating that the Amildar of the Mysore Taluk has taken possession of the chattram and the shops attached to it and that necessary --- action will be taken to fix a scale and appoint a set of Dharmadarsis to supervise the affairs of the institution.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S P Rajagopal

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.M.911/28 dated 28th August 1930 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

---

Management-Chikkamuniswamy Chetty's Chathram, Dodapet, Mysore City.

With reference to your memo No.698/29-30 dated 13-8-1930, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Mysore Taluk has -- taken possession of the Chathram and the shops attached to it. He has been asked to submit proposals with a scale of expenditure for the future management of the chathram and to the appointment of a body of Dharmadarsis to supervise the affairs of the Institution. Necessary action will be taken after the proposals are received.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.